Adverse sequelae following revision of a total hip replacement for a fractured ceramic component: case report
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Abstract – Revision total hip replacement following a fractured ceramic bearing component presents a challenge in the choice of the new bearing implant. A femoral head made of equal or harder material should be implanted to prevent catastrophic wear. Despite this, patients and surgeons must be wary of potential complications.
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Introduction

The choice of bearing material in revision total hip replacement (THR) for fractured ceramic components is debatable although a ceramic head is preferred to metal [1]. In order to prevent excessive wear from the third body ceramic fragments, a material with similar or greater hardness should be used. We report a case of excessive wear of the femoral head after a revision of a fractured ceramic acetabular liner despite maintaining a ceramic coupling.

Case report

A 63-year-old man presented with an atraumatic undisplaced periprosthetic fracture of the proximal femur 6 weeks after the onset of pain. He initially had difficulty weight bearing but his pain had gradually eased and his mobility had improved by the time of presentation.

He had undergone primary hip replacement 22 years previously, receiving a ceramic on ceramic bearing used in combination with an uncemented femoral stem (Furlong HAC-coated femoral stem, threaded cup, Biolox forte alumina ceramic). Two years after the index procedure, he underwent a revision of the acetabular component and femoral head due to fracture of the ceramic acetabular liner. A ceramic on ceramic articular coupling (HAC-coated CSF cup, Biolox forte alumina ceramic) was used at revision.

Following the revision surgery, the patient remained well, although he had developed a clicking sensation six years before his most recent admission. Medical history includes Crohn's disease, chronic renal failure and nephrocalcinosis as well as ankylosing spondylitis which required a spinal osteotomy and fusion five years prior to the recent hip complications.

Radiological investigations showed an undisplaced fracture of the lesser trochanter, with evidence of early bony healing. There was obvious eccentric wear of the femoral head (Figure 1). Blood inflammatory markers were unremarkable. Follow-up X-rays showed progressive osteolysis and subsidence of the femoral component. As the patient remained asymptomatic, he declined revision surgery for two years.

Revision surgery

A posterior approach was performed. There was extensive black amorphous metal debris (Figure 2a) and staining of the tissue in the hip and proximal femur. The femoral component was loose due to proximal femoral osteolysis. There was macroscopic eccentric wear at the antero-superior portion of the femoral head (Figures 2b and 3) and significant abrasive surface wear of the femoral stem (Figure 2c). The acetabular liner showed some surface titanium marking but was otherwise macroscopically normal. The cup was well fixed and, as the orientation appeared satisfactory, it was not revised. The stem was revised using a cementless modular femoral implant and ceramic femoral head.

Laboratory analysis of the retrieved ceramic head using validated methodology [2] showed a maximum wear depth of 2.2584 mm (mean linear wear rate of 110 μm/year) and a total volumetric wear of 939.29 mm³ (mean volumetric rate of 47 mm³/year). There was no significant material loss
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Figure 1. Plain radiograph shows an undisplaced proximal femur periprosthetic fracture involving lesser trochanter. CT shows eccentric wear of the articular surface.

Figure 2. (a) Black metal debris, (b) excessive wear of antero-superior (AS) femoral head and at posterior stem neck (*), (c) femoral stem with attached head.

Figure 3. Wear map shows marked asymmetry of the retrieved ceramic femoral head. Red areas indicate unworn surface, blue areas represent maximum wear. Anterior surface to the right. Units in millimetres.
identified at the stem taper. However, there was massive mate-
rial loss at the posterior surface of the stem neck consistent
with posterior impingement on the cup rim leading to the head
subluxing anteriorly (Figure 2b). Accurate assessment of this
material loss proved impossible as the wear depths were greater
than the coordinate measuring machine probe radius (>2.5 mm),
resulting in shanking of the probe during attempts to
scan the affected areas.

Discussion

There is currently no single agreed consensus on the choice
of bearing material in revision THR for fractured ceramic com-
ponents. High rates of failure caused by massive metallosis
with stainless steel or cobalt-chrome femoral heads had led
to the preference of ceramic head due to its hardness.

This report describes an unusual case of excessive wear of
the ceramic femoral head articulating against a ceramic liner.
In comparison with volumetric wear rates for ceramic on cera-
mic bearings reported in the literature, there is a massive dif-
fERENCE in the wear magnitude (47 mm³/year vs. 0.1–
0.2 mm³/year) [3]. The ceramic femoral head wear in this
patient also far exceeded wear seen in both conventional ultra-
high-molecular-weight and highly-crosslinked polyethylene
liners used in hybrid total hip replacement [4]. The reasons
may be due to a longer duration of implantation (17 years
vs. maximum 11 years) [3, 4] and the indication for revision.
The lower wear reported by other authors only involved revi-
sion of a primary THR compared to our patient who had
already previously undergone revision for fractured ceramic
liner. Retained ceramic fragments in the synovium may cause
third body wear, but in our patient, although synovectomy had
already been performed in the initial revision surgery, there is
still a risk of third body wear from retained ceramic fragments.

In this patient, there was abnormal wear from the posterior
impingement levering the head anteriorly. Although appearing
acceptable to intraoperative examination, the inclination and
anteversion were measured to be 59° and 49°, respectively,
using the EBRA software (Einzel-Bild-Roentgen-Analysis,
University of Innsbruck, Austria). It is also likely that the cera-
mic femoral head wear was accelerated by third body debris
which initially led to a slight reduction in hip offset and
instability resulting in subluxation. These factors may explain
the patient’s clicking sensation. Although the cause of the peri-
prosthetic fracture is difficult to ascertain, it is very likely to be
contributed to the consequence of titanium and ceramic debris
from multimodal wear causing osteolysis and bone weakening
of this area subjected to high loading stress [5].

Revision surgery for a fractured ceramic bearing compo-
nent requires meticulous synovectomy to decrease the quantity
of ceramic fragments. Implant stability and orientation must be
assessed and addressed if required. Surgical technique is also
important to prevent early implant failure as is the choice of
bearing material. Ceramic on ceramic bearing should be used
but the patient and surgeon, must be aware of the potential for
future failure.
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